


Remote Photoregulated Ring Gliding in a [2]Rotaxane via a Molecular Effector

Arnaud Tron,[†] Isabelle Pianet,[†] Alberto Martinez-Cuezva,[‡] James H. R. Tucker,[§] Luca Pisciotani,[†] Mateo Alajarin,[‡] Jose Berna,^{*,‡} and Nathan D. McClenaghan^{*,†}[†]Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, CNRS UMR 5255, Université de Bordeaux, 33405 Talence, France[‡]Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Murcia, E-30100 Murcia, Spain[§]School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A molecular barbiturate messenger, which is reversibly released/captured by a photoswitchable artificial molecular receptor, is shown to act as an effector to control ring gliding on a distant hydrogen-bonding [2]rotaxane. Thus, light-driven chemical communication governing the operation of a remote molecular machine is demonstrated using an information-rich neutral molecule.




Chemical communication is ubiquitous in nature and is notably moderated by ion transfer in neurons, muscle contraction, and mammalian vision.¹ Equally, molecules such as hormones constitute a major signaling pathway in the endocrine system.² On the other hand, while a wealth of photoionic systems is known,³ artificial molecular systems⁴ utilizing chemical transfer between functional molecules are scarce and typically rely on transfer of metal ions or protons.⁵ Concerning the latter, photoactive variants have been reported using spiropyran chromophores for reversible ion binding.⁶ Utilization of molecules, rather than ions, as messengers in such a scheme has not been duly considered. Molecules offer the advantage of greater structural diversity and are inherently information-rich. Here, we report a unique supramolecular system where photomodulation of the amplitude of the translational motion of a macrocycle along the thread of a two-station [2]rotaxane is achieved by the intermediacy of a molecular effector, which is reversibly taken up/released by a distinct switchable receptor.

In order to design such a system, a judicious combination of functional molecules is required. The receptor should be a bistable species where one form binds the molecular effector strongly ($K_{\text{assoc}}1$), while conversely in the second state, binding is much weaker ($K_{\text{assoc}}1'$), such that $K_{\text{assoc}}1 \gg K_{\text{assoc}}1'$. Ideally, interconversion should be triggered by an external stimulus without chemical build up. Molecule **1** (Scheme 1) strongly binds barbitol (B) in its open form ($K_{\text{assoc}}1 = 38000 \text{ M}^{-1}$) using six hydrogen bonds (with H-bonding pattern DADDAD:A-DAADA).⁷ Photoirradiation of **1** effects a $[4\pi + 4\pi]$ anthracene cyclomerization reaction giving **1_C**, rendering the receptor site ill-adapted to accommodate B ($K_{\text{assoc}}1' \leq 10 \text{ M}^{-1}$), thereby promoting photorelease and transfer of autonomous effector B.

In order to demonstrate photopromoted transfer of B, a second hydrogen-bonding molecule is required with an affinity

Scheme 1. Supramolecular System Where Photoregulated Binding of a Barbiturate Messenger Modulates the Amplitude of the Translational Motion of a Ring in an Interlocked Architecture



for B ($K_{\text{assoc}}2$) that is intermediate between **1** and **1_C**, i.e., $K_{\text{assoc}}1 > K_{\text{assoc}}2 > K_{\text{assoc}}1'$. Benzylic amide rotaxane **2** having two diamidopyridine units can bind B to form 1:1 and 1:2 complexes with stability constants $K_{\text{assoc}}2(1:1) = 787 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $K_{\text{assoc}}2(1:2) 108 \text{ M}^{-1}$, respectively.^{8a} Indeed, this ordering may be anticipated on the basis of the number of available hydrogen bonds formed between a barbitol guest and **1**, **2**, and

Received: November 19, 2016

Published: December 9, 2016

1_C, namely **6** (with H-bonding pattern DADDAD:ADAADA) vs **3** (DAD:ADA) vs **<3**, respectively. Additionally, considering translational motion of the ring in **2**, some of us reported that guest binding at both rotaxane diamidopyridine sites had a direct influence on the amplitude of the ring shuttling.^{8,9} Therefore, as represented in Scheme 1, in the initial state **B** would be anticipated to reside quasi-exclusively at receptor **1**, and an unimpeded, large amplitude translational motion of the macrocycle would occur in rotaxane **2**. Photoirradiation would provoke release of **B**, chemical transfer, and effective chemical communication with the rotaxane, thereby restricting the forward and backward ring motion through the formation of a ternary aggregate (**2B·2**).

Hydrogen bonding between amide functions of **1/2** and imide functions of messenger barbiturate **B** was followed by ¹H NMR and UV–vis spectroscopies. Thus, the state of the system and average instantaneous position of effector and ring components could be read out. Based on relative and absolute association constants for **B** with receptor **1** and rotaxane **2**, a mixture of **1**, **B**, and **2** ensuring preponderant complexation at **1** (89% **BC1**; ≈ 2% **2B·2**) was determined to be a molar ratio of 1:1:0.5, respectively, at 2 mM concentration of **1**.^{7,8} Following irradiation, a dramatic population inversion was anticipated (**BC1** ≈ 2%; **2B·2** = 65%). Direct titration of barbiturate into mixtures of **1** and **2** or **1_C** and **2** gave similar values, showing the rapid redistribution of **B** (Figures S1 and S2) compatible with reversible binding. Furthermore, moderate barbiturate binding-induced fluorescence quenching of **1** was observed (from $\Phi_f = 0.32$ to $\Phi_f = 0.27$ for **BC1** (20:1, [**1**] = 5 μM), Figure S6).^{7,10} Meanwhile, addition of [**2**]rotaxane **2** to **1** did not perturb the emission ($\Phi_f = 0.31$; 1:0.5, [**1**] = 5 μM).⁷

¹H NMR spectra in Figure 1 show the various states of the system,¹¹ denoted by the chemical shifts of H-bonding N–H resonances of **1** and **B**. The spectrum of the **BC1+2** mixture (1:1:0.5; [**B**] = 2 mM, Figure 1b) shows a marked difference between the amide protons **H_c** and **H_g** of **2**, imide protons **H_i** and **H_j** of **B** compared to the mixture in the presence of cyclized **1_C** (**2B·2+1_C**; 1:1:0.5, [**B**] = 2 mM, Figure 1e). Addition of **B** (1 equiv) to the acyclic receptor **1** (2 mM, CD₂Cl₂, Figure 1a and Figure S3b) resulted in strong downfield shifts of the N–H resonances of **B** ($\Delta\delta = 3.70$ ppm) and those of the amide protons of the receptor ($\Delta\delta = 1.25$ and 1.30 ppm), cf. Figures S3a and S3c. Meanwhile, in the case of poorly binding **1_C** (2 mM, CD₂Cl₂, Figure 1f and Figure S3d), addition of **B** resulted in small downfield shifts of the corresponding barbiturate ($\Delta\delta = 0.50$ ppm) and receptor ($\Delta\delta = 0.15$ and 0.05 ppm) resonances. Addition of **B** (2 equiv) to the homoditopic [**2**]rotaxane **2** (1 mM, CD₂Cl₂, Figure 1d and Figure S4) results in formation of **2B·2** as indicated by downfield shifts of imide NH protons of barbiturate messenger ($\Delta\delta = 0.50$ ppm) as well as NH amide protons (**H_c** and **H_g**) of [**2**]rotaxane ($\Delta\delta = 0.30$ and 0.29 ppm) compared to uncomplexed **B** (Figure S4c) and **2** (Figure 1c and Figure S4a). This complexation with **2** induces a restriction of the translational motion amplitude of the macrocycle of the interlocked architecture shown by small downfield proton resonance shifts compared to the free [**2**]rotaxane (Figure S4).^{8a} Further evidence for the feasibility of the transfer system came from the non-interaction between receptor **1** and [**2**]rotaxane **2** (Figure S5). The ensemble of these observations is consistent with an effective transfer of guest **B** between acyclic receptor **1** and the target rotaxane **2**.

Photoinduced evolution of **BC1+2** (1:1:0.5, CD₂Cl₂, [**B**] = 2 mM) was followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2 and




Figure 1. Partial ¹H NMR spectra (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) of (a) a mixture of **1** and **B** (1:1, [**B**] = 2 mM); (b) a mixture of **1**, **B** and **2** (1:1:0.5, [**B**] = 2 mM); (c) **2** (1 mM), (d) complex **2B·2** (1:0.5, 2 mM); (e) mixture of **1_C**, **B**, and **2** (1:1:0.5, 2 mM); (f) mixture of **1_C** and **B** (1:1, [**B**] = 2 mM). Assigned resonances correspond to labeled protons in Scheme 1 (see the Supporting Information for full attribution). Space-filling structures generated by PM6 modeling.




Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) of a mixture of **1**, **B**, and **2** (1:1:0.5, [**B**] = 2 mM) after irradiation ($\lambda = 350\text{--}400$ nm) for 0, 10, 15, 35, 80, and 150 min.

Figure S7) as a function of irradiation time ($\lambda = 350\text{--}400$ nm; see the SI for irradiation conditions. Degassed solutions were used throughout this study). A gradual upfield shift of the imide NH protons of **B** ($\Delta\delta = 12.1$ to 8.6 ppm) and disappearance of

NH amide protons of receptor **1** were synchronous with the appearance of **1_C**. Complete disappearance of anthracene proton signals ($\delta = 7.90\text{--}7.95$ and $7.48\text{--}7.42$ ppm in CD_2Cl_2) of the acyclic receptor **1** (Figure 2) was observed, and four multiplet signals assigned to the aromatic protons of the dimerized anthracene moiety in receptor **1_C** appeared at 6.65, 6.72, 6.85, and 7.09 ppm, after cyclization of receptor **1**. A downfield shift of the H_c and H_g NH proton signals was observed relative to the mixture **2B·2** (Figure S4b), associated with a restriction of ring shuttling amplitude induced by the complexation of **B** on the ditopic [2]rotaxane **2**. Thermal reversibility was studied by heating to 110°C , resulting in retrocyclomerization of **1_C**, as judged by ^1H NMR (Figure S8). After 780 min, a quasi-complete return of the system to its initial state was noted.

Dynamic ordering spectroscopy (DOSY) NMR experiments gave further information on the formation of supramolecular aggregates of increased mass/hydrodynamic radius. This translates into a lowered diffusion rate for both constituent components, allowing instantaneous determination of the messenger position.¹² Free **B**, being a small, highly mobile molecule (average diffusion coefficient (D) of $14.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in CD_2Cl_2 , Figure S13) was used as a probe to follow its complexation. In the presence of receptor **1**, its diffusion rate slows ($13.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, Figure S11a) showing association in solution, while photoirradiation and subsequent molecule release increase its diffusion rate value ($14.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, Figure S11b), showing lower association with **1_C**. Upon irradiation of the system **BC1+2** (1:1:0.5, $[\text{B}] = 2 \text{ mM}$) for 3 h, the measured weighted average diffusion coefficient of the barbiturate messenger increased from 9.8×10^{-10} to $12.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 3a,b). The lowering of the diffusion




Figure 3. DOSY NMR spectra (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K) of a mixture of **1**, **B**, and **2** (1:1:0.5, $[\text{B}] = 2 \text{ mM}$): (a) before irradiation; (b) after irradiation ($\lambda = 350\text{--}400 \text{ nm}$) for 150 min.

coefficient D of the rotaxane (from 5.6×10^{-10} to $5.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and the D increase of the barbiturate upon photoirradiation of the three-component mixture is indicative of the phototransfer process. Analysis of ^1H spectra acquired over a wide range of temperatures is commonly used to obtain information on fast molecular dynamic processes, such as the ring movement rate. Thus, by observing the coalescence of resonances at low temperature (see the SI for a description and Figures S14 and S15), the rate of exchange/ring shuttling

between identical stations in **2** could be estimated at 4900 s^{-1} at 223 K . Meanwhile, in the presence of **B**, under otherwise analogous conditions, a higher exchange value (14000 s^{-1}) was measured. This infers that the photoregulated remote effector can modulate not only the magnitude but also the net velocity of the ring movement within the rotaxane.

Photomodulation of the mixture of receptor **1**, [2]rotaxane **2**, and barbitol (1:0.5:1, $[\text{B}] = 2 \text{ mM}$) in dichloromethane was further followed by UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy. The structured absorption band (330–400 nm) of **1** disappeared upon photodimerization ($\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$ in degassed CH_2Cl_2), yielding nonbinding **1_C** (Figure 4). This allowed




Figure 4. (a) Electronic absorption spectra (1 mm path length) of a mixture of **1**, **B**, and **2** (1:1:0.5, $[\text{B}] = 2 \text{ mM}$) in CH_2Cl_2 . On irradiation ($\lambda = 350\text{--}400 \text{ nm}$), the disappearance of the anthracene moieties ($\text{Abs}_{370 \text{ nm}}$, b) continued to 94% conversion. (c) Cycles of photoirradiation and thermal reversion, corresponding to shuttling of **B** between **1** and **2**.

studies of the evolution of the release of barbitol as a function of the irradiation time. Quantum yield determination (Φ_r)¹³ of the photodimerization reactions afforded a measure of the efficiency of the photoprocesses for the system (receptor **1** in presence and in absence of barbitol and [2]rotaxane **2**). These yields were invariant at micro-to-millimolar concentrations, consistent with an intramolecular reaction, while the presence of rotaxane did not affect the determined value.⁷

Reversible disassembly of the photoadduct (Scheme 1) allows system reset, and subsequent stimulus-triggered cycles. Reversibility of the photocontrol process over multiple cycles was studied using a mixture of **1**, **B**, and **2** (Figure 4c) by repeated cycles of irradiation at 365 nm for 3 h and thermal retrodimerization at 110°C over 14 h. The percentage of **1_C** after each opening process was determined by absorption changes at 370 nm . A fatigue study showed that >94% of the anthracene chromophore was recovered after each cycle (Figure 4c), with a total fatigue of 16% after four cycles. Photochemical reversion on irradiating the ($\lambda = 280 \text{ nm}$) resulted in a higher degree of fatigue and buildup of irreversible photoproducts.¹⁴

In conclusion, combination of a photoswitchable receptor for a neutral multiple hydrogen-bonding molecular effector and a two-station [2]rotaxane constitutes a system where modulation of the ring shuttling is controlled remotely via the intermediacy of a neutral molecule. This system was implemented based on knowledge of binding constants and absorption profiles and opens the way to future phototriggered supramolecular systems involving chemical communication with a diversity of molecular

species. Ongoing work concerns development of biocompatible systems to interface biomacromolecules.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.orglett.6b03457](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.6b03457).

Experimental procedures, fluorescence spectra, ^1H NMR characterization data, and diffusion-ordered NMR of **1**, **2**, **1_C**, **B**, and mixtures **BC1**, **BC1_C**, **2B-2**, **BC1+2**, **2B-2+1_C**, **2+1**, and **2+1_C** (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: ppberna@um.es.

*E-mail: nathan.mcclenaghan@u-bordeaux.fr.

ORCID

Isabelle Pianet: 0000-0002-2694-3133

Nathan D. McClenaghan: 0000-0003-0285-1741

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the European Research Council (ERC, FP7-2008-2013, grant no. 208702), Région Aquitaine; University of Bordeaux; CNRS and Ministère de la Recherche l'Enseignement Supérieur (A.T.) are gratefully acknowledged. This work was also supported by the MINECO (CTQ2014-56887-P and Contract No. FPD1-2013-16623, A.M.-C.), FEDER, and the Fundación Seneca-CARM (Project 19240/PI/14).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Han, S.; Youn, D. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2008**, *441*, 296. (b) Borgdorff, A. J.; Choquet, D. *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 649. (c) Thoumine, O.; Ewers, H.; Heine, M.; Groc, L.; Frischknecht, R.; Giannone, G.; Poujol, C.; Legros, P.; Lounis, B.; Cognet, L.; Choquet, D. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1565. (d) Barrera, N. P.; Henderson, R. M.; Edwardson, J. M. *Pflügers Arch.* **2008**, *456*, 199.
- (2) (a) Dimaraki, E. V.; Jaffe, C. A. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* **2007**, *7*, 237. (b) AlKindi, A. Y. A.; AlHabsi, A. A.; Mahmoud, I. Y. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **2008**, *155*, 581.
- (3) See, for example: (a) Daly, B.; Ling, J.; de Silva, A. P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 4203. (b) Qu, D.-H.; Wang, Q.-C.; Zhang, Q.-W.; Ma, X.; Tian, H. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 7543. (c) Takeuchi, M.; Ikeda, M.; Sugasaki, A.; Shinkai, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 865.
- (4) (a) Amabilino, D. B.; Stoddart, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2725. (b) Forgan, R. S.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Stoddart, J.-F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 5434. For a special issue on Molecular Machines and Motors see: *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2014**, *354*, 1–342.
- (5) Fabbri, L.; Foti, F.; Patroni, S.; Pallavicini, P.; Taglietti, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5073.
- (6) (a) Raymo, F. M.; Giordani, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4651. (b) Alifimov, M. V.; Fedorova, O. A.; Gromov, S. P. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2003**, *158*, 183. (c) Jukes, R. T. F.; Bozic, B.; Hartl, F. E.; Belser, P.; De Cola, L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 8326. (d) Silvi, S.; Arduini, A.; Pochini, A.; Secchi, A.; Tomasulo, M.; Raymo, F. M.; Baroncini, M.; Credì, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13378. (e) Bofinger, R.; Ducrot, A.; Jonusauskaite, L.; McClenaghan, N. D.; Pozzo, J.-L.; Sevez, G.; Vives, G. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2011**, *64*, 1301.
- (7) (a) Tron, A.; Thornton, P. J.; Lincheneau, C.; Desvergne, J.-P.; Spencer, N.; Tucker, J. H. R.; McClenaghan, N. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**,

80, 988. (b) Molard, Y.; Bassani, D. M.; Desvergne, J.-P.; Moran, N.; Tucker, J. H. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 8523. (c) Molard, Y.; Bassani, D. M.; Desvergne, J.-P.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Tucker, J. H. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1072.

(8) (a) Martinez-Cuezva, A.; Berna, J.; Orenes, R.-A.; Pastor, A.; Alajarin, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6762. (b) Martinez-Cuezva, A.; Pastor, A.; Cioncoloni, G.; Orenes, R.-A.; Alajarin, M.; Symes, M. D.; Berna, J. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 3087. (c) Martinez-Cuezva, A.; Carro-Guillen, F.; Pastor, A.; Marin-Luna, M.; Orenes, R.-A.; Alajarin, M.; Berna, J. *ChemPhysChem* **2016**, *17*, 1920.

(9) For studies on the ring shuttling in two-station [2]rotaxanes see: (a) Bissell, R. A.; Córdova, E.; Kaifer, A. E.; Stoddart, J. F. *Nature* **1994**, *369*, 133. (b) Xue, M.; Yang, Y.; Chi, X.; Yan, X.; Huang, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 7398. (c) Erbas-Cakmak, S.; Leigh, D. A.; McTernan, C. T.; Nussbaumer, A. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 10081.

(10) Eaton, D. E. *Handbook of Organic Photochemistry*; Scaiano, J. C., Ed.; CRC: Boca Raton, 1989; Vol. 1.

(11) All species (Figures S16–S19) and mixtures (Figure S20–S31) were fully characterized by ^1H NMR spectroscopy.

(12) (a) Toumi, I.; Torrèsani, B.; Caldarelli, S. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *85*, 11344. (b) Noor, A.; Moratti, C.; Crowley, J. D. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 4283. (c) Saha, S.; Santra, S.; Akhuli, B.; Ghosh, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 11170. (d) Abet, V.; Evans, R.; Guibbal, F.; Caldarelli, S.; Rodriguez, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4862.

(13) Montalti, M.; Credì, A.; Prodi, L.; Gandolfi, M. T. In *Handbook of Photochemistry*, 3rd ed.; CRC Press: New York, 2006; pp 601–604.

(14) (a) Bouas-Laurent, H.; Castellan, A.; Desvergne, J.-P.; Lapouyade, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2000**, *29*, 43. (b) Bouas-Laurent, H.; Castellan, A.; Desvergne, J.-P.; Lapouyade, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2001**, *30*, 248.